

# REPORT

OF THE

## EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

OF THE

## JENNER SOCIETY

FOR THE PERIOD

FROM JULY 1st, 1903, TO DECEMBER 31st, 1904.

---

GLOUCESTER.



# REPORT.

---

THE period covered by the present Report and the accompanying Financial Statement has been, for the Jenner Society, one of quiet work, pending the outcome of the effort, initiated in 1903, to induce Parliament to legislate on the vaccination question. For on the result of this effort depended the course which the Executive Committee of the Jenner Society would have considered it desirable to take in regard to the Society's future course.

Unfortunately the effort has not been successful. The Government, probably from pressure of more imperious claims, have not seen their way to take up the question of vaccination in any form, or even to give special facilities for passing a Re-vaccination Bill, though the Minister who would probably have been charged with the conduct of the Bill through the House of Commons expressed his sympathy generally with its objects.

The efforts which Mrs. Garrett Anderson personally has made to promote this Bill are beyond all praise, and the support she has received shows, what could hardly have been doubted, that, so far as public opinion is represented by the better educated section of the community, there are not two opinions, outside of those who object to vaccination altogether, as to the necessity for promoting general re-vaccination, about the age of twelve years, if we are to escape recurring epidemics of small-pox throughout the kingdom. But, in political matters, intellectual sympathy is no guarantee of political influence. And so long as the Anti-Vaccination League is able, by the substantial contributions of its supporters, to carry on its misleading propaganda, and so long, also, as the personal enthusiasm of its more active members in various local centres continues to intimidate candidates for Parliamentary honours by an assumption of political influence which they do not possess, it will be very difficult, if not impossible, to bring Parliament up to the point of facing this unquestionably complicated and difficult problem.

Moreover, it is useless for the advocates of vaccination to conceal from themselves the fact that the great mass of the working classes, with whom the voting power of the constituencies resides, exhibit at best a general indifference to its value, whilst they are often actually hostile, to it; and this, too, curiously enough, even when small-pox is in their own immediate neighbourhood. For such a state of things there is only one

# THE APPEAL OF THE JENNER SOCIETY.

---

We published yesterday a letter from DR. BOND, of Gloucester, the indefatigable honorary secretary of the Jenner Society, in which he asks the public for funds, which are required in order that the operations of the Society may be continued. It has been our lot, from time to time, to place many appeals before our readers ; but not many of them have in so great a degree afforded an opportunity to donors of combining the discharge of duty to themselves with the discharge of duty to their neighbour. The Society, as DR. BOND tells us, is at the end of its funds, and must be better supported if it is to achieve anything more important than strengthening the convictions of those who are already convinced.

*THE TIMES.*

---

“An appeal which should be given the active and generous support of the scientific world has been made by DR. F. T. BOND, of Gloucester, Secretary of the Jenner Society. It is to be hoped that this appeal will meet with every encouragement, so that the Society shall be able to make its operations felt over an extensive field.”

*NATURE.*

---

## THE APPROVAL OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT BOARD.

---

CIRENCESTER,  
*March 24th, 1899.*

MY LORDS AND GENTLEMEN,

Having reference to your letter of the 8th ultimo, in which you state that you would have no objection to the Guardians incurring reasonable expense in obtaining and distributing in the Union literature in favour of vaccination, assuming that the same is issued by some recognised or responsible authority on the subject, I am directed to enquire whether the “Jenner Society,” of which Earl Ducie is President and DR. F. T. BOND, of Gloucester, is the Honorary Secretary, is such a recognised or responsible authority as is contemplated in your letter above referred to. I enclose a pamphlet setting out the objects of the “Jenner Society.”

I am, My Lords and Gentlemen,  
Your obedient Servant,  
H. L. COOKE.

The Local Government Board,  
Whitehall, London, S.W.

---

LOCAL GOVERNMENT BOARD, WHITEHALL, S.W.,  
*April 22nd, 1899.*

SIR,

I am directed by the Local Government Board to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 24th ult., and to state that for the purpose in question the Board are of opinion that the Guardians of the Cirencester Union are entitled to regard the Jenner Society as a recognised and responsible authority.

I am, Your obedient Servant,  
JOHN LITHIBY,  
Assistant Secretary.

H. L. COOKE, Esq.

# The Jenner Society

ESTABLISHED 1896

President

THE RIGHT HON. THE EARL OF DUCIE, F.R.S.  
Lord Lieutenant of Gloucestershire

Hon. Secretary

FRANCIS T. BOND M.D.

Treasurer

G. E. LLOYD BAKER, ESQ.

Chairman of Executive Committee

THE VERY REV. DR. SPENCE-JONES, D.D. DEAN OF GLOUCESTER.

— ♦ ♦ ♦ —

**OBJECTS OF THE SOCIETY.**—To commemorate the name and work of Edward Jenner, M.D. F.R.S., of Berkeley, in the County of Gloucester, the originator of vaccination, by collecting, diffusing and popularising information in regard to small pox and to the value of vaccination as a protection against it; by promoting the practice of vaccination in a safe and efficient manner, and, generally, by furthering the adoption of those methods of preventing and treating disease which rest upon the foundation of Jenner's historic "Inquiry."

## THE JENNER SOCIETY.

"How much good work can be done on a very small income is indicated in the Jenner Society's recently issued report. The document covers a period of 18 months, and the total income during that time was less than £100. The prime and almost the sole object of the Society is the education of the public in the truth about vaccination. The means by which this work is carried on include the writing of letters to the newspapers, a duty performed with tireless energy by Dr. Bond, the Honorary Secretary; the furnishing of information to medical men and others who desire to familiarize themselves with statistical and other evidence in support of vaccination, or to get material for exposure of anti-vaccination fictions and fallacies as published in lectures, or in letters to the press; and the issue of pamphlets and leaflets stating crisply and succinctly the benefits of the Jennerian prophylaxis. Anyone who wishes to learn the character of the Society's literature should write to Dr. Bond for a few specimens of the pamphlets, and especially, we think, for a copy of a sheet of photographic object lessons, which has been recently added. It depicts, in very striking fashion, an unvaccinated small-pox patient, by whose side stands his revaccinated doctor; another such patient being attended to by a revaccinated nurse; a nurse who had never been revaccinated, and who is covered with the eruption of small-pox; an unvaccinated Gloucester child blinded by small-pox; a part of the Gloucester Cemetery in which 279 unvaccinated children who died from small-pox are buried, and several other similarly effective scenes and cases. The Jenner Society appeals for more funds to allow it to extend its operations. It is an appeal which we heartily and unhesitatingly endorse. Not one farthing of the money sent will be misspent, and there is abundant room for further valuable work. The Anti-vaccination League spends about £1,000 a year in its mischievous propagandism, and the Jenner Society only about £100 in a year and a half. The bare statement of these figures ought to be enough to work a change. Subscriptions should be sent to Mr. G. E. Lloyd Baker, Treasurer, Jenner Society, Gloucester."—*The British Medical Journal*, 23rd February, 1905.



# The Jenner Society

President:

THE EARL OF DUCIE, F.R.S.

Hon. Secretary:

FRANCIS T. BOND, M.D., F.R.S.Ed.

Treasurer:

G. E. LLOYD BAKER, ESQ.

Chairman of Executive Committee:

THE VERY REV. DEAN SPENCE-JONES, D.D.

OBJECTS OF THE SOCIETY.—To collect, diffuse and popularise knowledge in regard to the history of Small-pox and the value of Vaccination as a protection against it; to promote the practice of Vaccination in a safe and efficient manner; and to further generally the adoption of those modes of preventing and treating the disease which rest upon the foundation of Jenner's great discovery.

## Gloucester

On behalf of the Committee of the Jenner Society we desire to invite attention to the work which the Society has been carrying on during the last nine years, in the belief that it only requires to be better known to obtain larger support than it has hitherto received.

This work is primarily and mainly educational; it is national in its importance; it has received the approval of the Local Government Board, the Press and the Medical Profession, and it should commend itself to the support of all who desire to protect the lives of helpless children and who believe that the opposition to vaccination, though liberally fostered by a small number of well-meaning but mistaken enthusiasts, is largely founded on ignorance.

Contributions to the funds of the Society, which are much needed in order to enable its work to be effectively promoted, may be sent to the Hon. Treasurer or the Hon. Secretary, Jenner Society, Gloucester.

DUCIE

...

...

...

*President.*

H. DONALD M. SPENCE-JONES ...

*Chairman of Committee.*

(Dean of Gloucester.)

G. E. LLOYD-BAKER ...

...

*Treasurer.*

FRANCIS T. BOND, M.D. ...

...

*Hon. Secretary.*

the Medical Profession, and it should commend itself to the support of all who desire to protect the lives of helpless children and who believe that the opposition to vaccination, though liberally fostered by a small number of well-meaning but mistaken enthusiasts, is largely founded on ignorance.

Contributions to the funds of the Society, which are much needed in order to enable its work to be effectively promoted, may be sent to the Hon. Treasurer or the Hon. Secretary, Jenner Society, Gloucester.

DUCE

•

•

•

*President.*

H. DONALD M. SPENCE-JONES

•  
•  
•

*Chairman of Committee.*

(Dean of Gloucester.)

G. E. LLOYD-BAKER

•

•

•

•

*Treasurer.*

FRANCIS T. BOND, M.D.

- 
- 
- 

*Hon. Secretary.*

remedy, and that is education. The better instructed section of the community has, with but few exceptions, never doubted the value of vaccination ; and it is only by persistent effort in educating the rest of the community that the misrepresentations of anti-vaccinators can be exposed, and the doubts of many well disposed persons on the subject can be removed.

But there is further ground for questioning the policy of attempting to push a Re-vaccination Bill, pure and simple, through the House of Commons. The Jenner Society has consistently contended that the proper sequel to the Royal Commission on Vaccination was a comprehensive inquiry into its administrative practice. The Final Report of the Commissioners in 1896 conclusively established the value of vaccination as a protection against small-pox, and showed that the dangers attributed to it were grossly exaggerated, and might by improved methods of administration be reduced to insignificance.

The Report contained ample evidence that there is room for much improvement both in the administration of vaccination by the State and in its practice by private practitioners. The experience which has since been gained in the working of the Act of 1898 has still further strengthened that position. Looked at simply from the financial point of view the official administration of vaccination is needlessly expensive. There is abundant reason for saying that public vaccination costs more than it need do if it were properly organised. This is an aspect of the matter which it is unwise to ignore. But, irrespective of this consideration, there are many administrative defects, some of which are specifically referred to in the Final Report, which materially affect the efficiency of vaccination as a safeguard of the public health, and which, in the opinion of the Committee, should be investigated and rectified before any attempt is made to obtain legislative sanction for official re-vaccination. In a Bill which dealt with these matters as a whole, a provision for re-vaccination, about the age of 12, would find an appropriate place, and the opposition which it would be sure to encounter, even if introduced as a purely voluntary proceeding, might be largely discounted by making it a set-off against such concessions to the "conscientious objector" as are unavoidable under present conditions. It is well in this matter to appeal to the example of Germany as a proof of what universal compulsory vaccination and re-vaccination will do to stamp out epidemic small-pox. But, England is not Germany, and the bureaucratic administration of vaccination, which even in Germany meets with considerable resistance, has little chance of being accepted in England until the public learn the folly of sacrificing the general welfare of the country to the party necessities of ambitious politicians or the clamour of irreconcilable faddists.

It has been suggested that the work which the Jenner Society has been doing for the last eight years should be undertaken by a department of the Government, and that it might with advantage form a part of the administration of the Local Government Board.

Whilst the Committee would gladly welcome such a procedure they cannot but feel that the objections which are sure to be raised to it are so cogent as to make this proposal impracticable.

There seems only one course open: the well subsidized and well organised opposition to vaccination which is carried on by voluntary effort through the Anti-Vaccination League must be met by an equally well supported and active effort of the same kind, for the purpose of educating the public in the importance of vaccination as a protection to the public health. But to carry on such an effort needs not only knowledge and judgment, but financial resources.

The organisation which has been established to discredit vaccination, and, in its own emphatic language, "to sweep the Vaccination Law from the Statute Book," has for some years spent little short of £1000 per annum on this effort. This substantial income, is, it is only fair to say, not only raised in large donations by a few wealthy supporters, but also in small sums by enthusiasts in various parts of the kingdom, and it is at least a good proof of the sincerity of the convictions of its donors. It is also a proof of the extent to which mistaken views on this subject prevail, and of the effect which persistent misrepresentation in promoting them has produced.

It is idle to think that such a propaganda can be combatted except by equivalent effort on the part of those who appreciate the mischief which is thus being wrought.

In view of these considerations, and of the constant applications which the Jenner Society receives for its literature and for assistance in other ways, from those who are interested in promoting vaccination, especially when small-pox is prevalent in any part of the country, the Committee feel that they are justified in maintaining the work of the Society and in appealing to the public for the financial help which is necessary to enable them to carry it on. There is much that the Society could do that it is unable to attempt for want of funds, and the Committee therefore trust that everyone who appreciates the importance of the work the Jenner Society is striving to carry on will help to maintain and to extend it.

H. D. M. SPENCE-JONES, D.D.

(Dean of Gloucester),

*Chairman of Executive Committee.*

G. E. LLOYD-BAKER, *Treasurer.*

FRANCIS T. BOND, M.D. Lond.,

*Hon. Secretary.*



# THE JENNER SOCIETY.

## Financial Statement for the period from July 1st, 1903, to Dec. 31st, 1904.

### Expenditure.

	£	s.	d.
Printing and Stationery	...	...	11 5 0
Postage and Telegrams	...	...	6 12 0
Travelling Expenses	...	...	0 19 2
Books and Periodicals	...	...	4 14 1
Sundries	...	...	4 4 0
Office and Clerical Expenses	...	...	78 0 0
			<hr/>
			£105 14 3
			<hr/>

### Income.

Balance from last Statement	...	...	5 7 7½
Subscriptions and Donations	...	...	85 12 6
Sale of Publications...	...	...	5 6 3½
			<hr/>
Balance due to Treasurer	...	...	9 7 10

---

£105 14 3

---

Examined and compared with vouchers and found correct—

(Signed) WALTER LLOYD,

31st January, 1905.

G. E. LLOYD-BAKER,  
*Treasurer.*

# The Jenner Society.

## LIST OF LIFE MEMBERS, SUBSCRIBERS & DONORS\*

### LIFE MEMBERS.

	£	s.	d.
The Duke of Westminster ... ..	15	0	0
The Earl of Ducie, F.R.S. (2) ... ..	20	0	0
Lord Lister, F.R.S. ... ..	20	0	0
Lord Harris ... ..	5	0	0
Lord Sherborne ... ..	5	0	0
Sir J. E. Dorington, Bart., M.P. ... ..	5	0	0
Sir W. H. Broadbent, Bart., F.R.S. ... ..	5	5	0
Col. A. W. Capel, J.P., Gloucester ... ..	5	0	0
Mr. H. B. Blandy, J.P., Berks ... ..	10	0	0
„ F. Russell Buckler, J.P., London (2) ... ..	20	10	0
„ T. G. Gibson, Northumberland ... ..	10	0	0
Mrs. Blackwell, Gloucester .. ..	5	0	0
„ Teacher, Glasgow ... ..	5	5	0
Mr. T. Dyer Edwardes, J.P., Gloucester ... ..	5	5	0
Miss Violet Martineau, Hants ... ..	5	0	0
Mr. H. Dent Brocklehurst, J.P., Gloucester ... ..	5	0	0
„ J. Cleland Burns, London ... ..	5	0	0
„ R. H. Caird, J.P., Wilts ... ..	5	0	0
„ Andrew Carnegie ... ..	5	0	0
„ G. Crawshall, Yorks ... ..	5	0	0
„ Jas. Hutchinson, J.P., Hereford ... ..	5	0	0
Dr. A. L. Ormerod ... ..	5	0	0
Mr. A. J. Palmer, Gloucester ... ..	5	0	0
„ P. F. Walker, London ... ..	5	0	0
„ H. G. Willink, J.P., Berks ... ..	5	0	0

### SUBSCRIBERS AND DONORS,

July 1st, 1903, to December 31st, 1904.

The Duke of Westminster ... ..	5	0	0
The Marquis of Bath ... ..	2	0	0
Lord Moreton ... ..	2	2	0
His Honour Judge Ellicott ... ..	2	0	0
Lady Fry ... ..	0	10	0
Col. Sir J. Wallington, K.C.B. ... ..	0	5	0
Mr. B. St. John Ackers, J.P., Gloucester ... ..	0	5	0
Dr. T. Dyke Acland, M.D., London... ..	1	0	0
„ E. H. Addenbrooke, Kidderminster ... ..	0	5	0
„ J. R. Atkinson, Essex ... ..	0	5	0
„ L. C. Badcock, M.D., Sussex ... ..	0	5	0

\* In view of the use made by the opponents of vaccination of the addresses of Subscribers and Donors given in previous lists, it has been considered advisable only to give the County of each.

	£	s.	d.
Mr. G. E. Lloyd-Baker, J.P., Gloucester ... ..	2	0	0
„ H. O. Lloyd-Baker, J.P. „ „ „ „	1	0	0
„ W. Meath Baker, J.P. „ „ „ „	2	0	0
„ G. Barling, F.R.C.S., Warwick „ „ „ „	0	10	6
Rev. S. Bartleet, Gloucester „ „ „ „	0	10	0
Dr. S. E. Baxter, Wellingborough „ „ „ „	0	5	0
„ Batten, M.D., Gloucester „ „ „ „	1	0	0
„ C. Biddle, Glamorgan „ „ „ „	0	5	0
„ D. Biddle, Surrey „ „ „ „	0	5	0
Lieut.-Col. J. P. Boileau, A.M.C., Wilts „ „ „ „	1	0	0
Dr. F. T. Bond, M.D. „ „ „ „	1	0	0
„ E. Dykes Bower, M.D. „ „ „ „	1	0	0
„ L. G. Broughton, Birmingham „ „ „ „	0	5	0
Messrs. Bruton, Knowles & Co., Gloucester „ „ „ „	2	2	0
Miss B. C. Burns, London „ „ „ „	2	0	0
Mr. W. C. Chambers, J.P., Gloucester „ „ „ „	0	10	6
Dr. E. F. Clowes „ „ „ „	0	5	0
Mr. J. Arthur Coe, Surrey „ „ „ „	0	10	6
Dr. W. Collier, M.D., Oxon „ „ „ „	0	10	6
„ H. Beale Collins, Surrey „ „ „ „	0	5	0
Rev. A. H. Courthope, Kent „ „ „ „	0	10	6
Dr. Cresswell, Cinderford „ „ „ „	0	5	0
Mr. Thomas B. Croome, Gloucester „ „ „ „	0	5	0
Miss A. Croome „ „ „ „	0	5	0
Hon. Miss H. Cubitt, Devon „ „ „ „	0	5	0
Miss L. Dalglish, Bucks „ „ „ „	0	2	6
Mr. W. Erasmus Darwin, J.P., London „ „ „ „	1	1	0
Miss F. Davenport Hill, Oxon „ „ „ „	0	10	0
Mr. Israel Davis, K.C., London „ „ „ „	0	10	0
Rev. E. Dovey, Gloucester „ „ „ „	0	5	0
Dr. Clement Dukes, Rugby „ „ „ „	0	10	6
Mr. R. Ellett „ „ „ „	1	0	0
Rev. H. A. Fielden, Yorks „ „ „ „	1	1	0
Dr. F. B. Fisher, J.P., Dorset „ „ „ „	0	5	0
Rev. Canon Foster, Gloucester „ „ „ „	0	5	0
Dr. O. H. Fowler, Gloucester „ „ „ „	1	1	0
Mr. A. C. Franklin, London „ „ „ „	0	5	0
„ W. Garratt, Derby „ „ „ „	0	5	0
Dr. T. L. Gentles „ „ „ „	0	10	0
Rev. Canon Golightly, Gloucester „ „ „ „	0	10	0
Capt. G. A. Graham, J.P., „ „ „ „	0	10	0
Mr. C. Greenwood, London „ „ „ „	1	1	0
Rev. A. M. Harper, Leicester „ „ „ „	0	10	0
Dr. W. P. Herringham, M.D., London „ „ „ „	1	1	0
Mr. Alfred Hill, J.P., Warwick „ „ „ „	0	10	0
„ Lewin Hill, Surrey „ „ „ „	0	5	0
Lieut.-Col. Holland, M.D., London „ „ „ „	0	10	0
Dr. E. W. Hope, M.D., Liverpool „ „ „ „	1	1	0
„ W. L. Hunter, M.D., Yorks „ „ „ „	0	5	0
„ J. B. Hurry, M.D., Berks „ „ „ „	0	10	0
<u>Mr. Jas. Russell Kerr, J.P., Gloucester „ „ „ „</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>0</u>
„ Egerton E. Leigh, J.P., „ „ „ „	2	2	0
Dr. Percy J. Lush, M.B., London „ „ „ „	0	10	0
Rev. W. Lloyd „ „ „ „	0	5	0
Mr. J. C. Little, J.P., Gloucester „ „ „ „	0	10	0
Dr. R. Macartney, Gloucester „ „ „ „	1	1	0
„ W. F. Macdonogh, Ireland „ „ „ „	0	5	0
Miss V. Martineau, Hants „ „ „ „	3	0	0
Dr. J. Mason, M.D. „ „ „ „	0	5	0

	£	s.	d.
Col. Mason, Yorks ... ..	0	10	6
Mr. J. B. Massey, Lancs. ... ..	0	5	0
Dr. J. MacLeish, M.B. ... ..	0	6	0
„ H. May, Birmingham ... ..	0	10	0
Rev. H. Mills, Warwick ... ..	1	0	0
Mr. Thomas Moreton, Cheshire ... ..	0	10	0
Mr. J. Mullings, Cirencester ... ..	0	10	6
Dr. F. E. Nichol, M.B. ... ..	0	10	6
„ A. Newsholme, M.D. ... ..	1	1	0
Major-General O'Connell, Kent ... ..	0	10	0
Mr. Stephen Paget, F.R.C.S. ... ..	0	5	0
„ J. E. Paul, Gloucester ... ..	0	5	0
„ G. T. Peirse Duncombe, London ... ..	1	1	0
„ A. Percival, Northants ... ..	0	10	0
Rev. W. C. Plenderleath, Devon ... ..	0	10	6
Miss Edith Pollock, London ... ..	0	5	0
Dr. J. P. Purvis, Kent ... ..	0	5	0
„ Rayner, Lancs. ... ..	1	1	0
„ J. W. Riley, Salop ... ..	0	5	0
„ J. P. Scatchard, M.B., York ... ..	0	5	0
Mrs. Scott, Hampstead ... ..	0	5	0
Mr. Chas. Scott, Gloucester ... ..	1	0	0
Mr. Percy Shelley, Sussex ... ..	0	5	0
Lieut. Simpson, R.N., Lancaster ... ..	0	5	0
Rev. W. D. Stanton, J.P., Gloucester ... ..	1	1	0
„ Canon Stanton, J.P., „ ... ..	0	10	0
Mr. James Stirling, Kent ... ..	1	1	0
Dr. Mark Taylor, Cornwall ... ..	0	5	0
Mrs. Teacher, Glasgow ... ..	1	1	0
Dr. J. H. Teacher, M.D., Glasgow ... ..	2	2	0
„ Mary Thorne, M.D., London ... ..	0	5	0
Mr. R. V. Vassar-Smith, J.P., Gloucester ... ..	2	2	0
Dr. Butterworth Wilks, M.D., Yorks ... ..	0	5	0
„ E. T. Wilson, M.B., Gloucester ... ..	0	10	0
Mr. B. Wilson, Yorks ... ..	1	1	0
„ H. G. Yatman, Hants ... ..	2	0	0
„ H. Zachary, Gloucester ... ..	0	5	0
Anonymous ... ..	0	10	0



# THE JENNER SOCIETY.

ESTABLISHED 1896.

---

## **President :**

THE EARL OF DUCIE, F.R.S., Lord Lieutenant of Gloucestershire.

## **Honorary Vice-Presidents :**

THE RIGHT HON. LORD LISTER, F.R.S., D.C.L., LL.D., President of the Lister Institute.

SIR WM. HUGGINS, K.C.B., D.C.L., LL.D., President of the Royal Society.

SIR WILLIAM TURNER, K.C.B., D.C.L., F.R.S., Principal of the University of Edinburgh, late President of the General Medical Council of the United Kingdom.

G. B. FERGUSON, M.D., late President of the British Medical Association (1901-2).

## **Executive Committee :**

*Chairman :* THE VERY REV. DONALD SPENCE-JONES, D.D., Dean of Gloucester.

E. DYKES BOWER, F.R.C.S., Surgeon to the Gloucester Infirmary.

H. C. FOSTER, THE REV. CANON, Vicar of All Saints', Gloucester.

WALTER LLOYD, THE REV., Unitarian Church, Gloucester.

E. T. WILSON, M.B. Oxon, F.R.C.P., Senior Physician to the Cheltenham Hospital ; with the

## **Hon. Treasurer :**

G. E. LLOYD-BAKER, Hardwicke Court, Gloucester,  
and

## **Hon. Secretary :**

FRANCIS T. BOND, M.D., B.A. Lond., F.R.S. Edin., Medical Officer of Health to the Gloucestershire Combined District, Gloucester.

---

## **OBJECTS OF THE SOCIETY.**

The first object of the JENNER SOCIETY is to commemorate the name and work of EDWARD JENNER, M.D., F.R.S., of Berkeley, in the County of Gloucester, and to bring home again to the mind of the nation, in a time of growing forgetfulness of his great inquiry, the immense benefit he conferred by it upon mankind.

In furtherance of this object the Society seeks to collect, diffuse and popularise knowledge in regard to the history of small pox before JENNER'S time and to the evidence which has been accumulated, during the last hundred years, of the value of vaccination as a preventive of that disease, so much of which is embedded in publications which are not available for popular use. It aims to do this by the production and distribution of approved literature on these subjects, and especially by the circulation of the substance of the Report of the Royal Commission on Vaccination, and of the evidence on which it is founded, in such a form as will adapt it for popular appreciation. It also endeavours, so far as may seem necessary, to reply to and expose the mis-statements and fallacies so persistently circulated by the opponents of vaccination in the public journals, at public meetings and

elsewhere, to the influence of which is mainly attributable the hold which this mischievous agitation has obtained on the public mind.

But the need for a diffusion of knowledge of this kind is not confined merely to Vaccination and small-pox. It applies no less to all those forms of communicable disease of which small-pox is only a single illustration and to those modes of dealing with them of which Jennerian Vaccination is but the type. The researches of PASTEUR, LISTER, and KOCH, following in the footsteps of JENNER, as well as those of others who in all parts of the world are working on the lines which he laid down, are leading to discoveries which we have every reason to believe will not be less beneficent in their results than vaccination has been in regard to small-pox. These applications of science have already been attacked with no less fanaticism than has been directed against vaccination and by precisely the same class of people. For the opponents of vaccination have clearly seen that these discoveries so strengthen and enlarge the argument for vaccination that the names and work of JENNER, PASTEUR, and LISTER must stand or fall together.

In these respects, therefore, the work of the Society will be seen to be primarily an educational one, the importance of which cannot well be over-estimated, and it appeals for aid in promoting this work to all who recognise how largely the opposition to vaccination is founded on ignorance and nurtured by misrepresentation.

In connection with this branch of the work of the Society it is desired to form a comprehensive library of Jennerian literature. No public collection of the kind exists in this country, though more than one such is to be found abroad.

There are other directions in which the Society will find scope for useful work, the realisation of which must depend in a great degree on the resources which it may have at its disposal.

#### MEMBERSHIP.

Every person subscribing annually a sum of not less than five shillings is a member of the Society. A donation of not less than £5 in one sum, constitutes the donor a Life Member.

Members of the Society are entitled to copies of all publications issued by the Society on its own account; to the loan of any works in the Society's Library and of the Society's collection of slides for lecture purposes, on conditions to be arranged by the Executive Committee; and to such assistance and information as they may desire and as the Society can afford them, in promoting the general objects for which it is instituted.

#### PUBLICATIONS.

A list of the publications issued by the Society may be obtained on application to the Hon. Secretary, Gloucester.

# SOME OF THE PUBLICATIONS ISSUED BY THE JENNER SOCIETY.



1. Some Unimpeachable and Unanswerable Object Lessons in what Vaccination does to protect against Small Pox in a series of illustrations. Well-adapted for general circulation. 4 pp. 4to.
2. An instructive Object Lesson for Anti-Vaccinators, being the experience of Ossett School in an outbreak of Small Pox. pp. 4.
3. Common Sense on Vaccination, for the "Man in the Street." pp. 2.
4. What Lord Herschell (President of the Royal Commission on Vaccination) has said on the subject of Vaccination. pp. 4.
5. A Plea for the Children; an exact reproduction of two pages of an old Register kept in the Parish Chapel at Pudsey, in Yorkshire, in 1787 and 1792, showing the prevalence of Small Pox in the last century and the special ravages it made amongst children; with other illustrations and descriptive notes. pp. 15.
6. What do we mean by "Vaccinated?" An answer to the objection so frequently raised by Anti-Vaccinists that Small Pox often attacks the vaccinated and that it is therefore useless. pp. 4.
7. Fifteen Reasons for believing in the efficacy of Vaccination as a preventive of Small Pox. pp. 16.  

A concise statement of the case for Vaccination. Will be found useful for debating purposes.

"An admirable leaflet. It is temperate, logical, and lucid, and will, we trust, be widely circulated."—*Lancet*.
8. What the Royal Commissioners think as to the efficacy of Vaccination as a protection against Small Pox, and what they say as to the alleged injurious effects of Vaccination. pp. 2.
9. The Effect of Vaccination in Infancy on the Mortality from Small Pox in Childhood: A Critical Test. pp. 11.
10. Letter from Mrs. Garrett Anderson, M.D., on Vaccination. pp. 10.

11. Facts about Small Pox and Vaccination. Issued by the Council of the British Medical Association. pp. 8.
12. A Question of Conscience. By Miss Violet Martineau. pp. 3. For distribution to Mothers.
13. "Sanitation and Small Pox: Declaration by over 1100 Medical Officers of Health." Backed by "Why we should rely on Vaccination with Sanitation to prevent Small Pox and not on Sanitation alone, as Anti-Vaccinators advise." pp. 2.
14. Vaccination or Sanitation? By Dr. J. C. MacVail. pp. 16.  
A telling answer, drawn from the experience of Glasgow, to the Anti-Vaccinator's assertion that "Sanitation" is an effective substitute for Vaccination.
15. Dr. Alfred Russell Wallace, LL.D., on "Sanitation and Small Pox." By the Rev. Walter Lloyd. Re-printed from the *Westminster Review*. pp. 16
16. A Historic Epidemic: The Gloucester Epidemic of Small Pox (1895-6). Statistics and Diagrams. Showing how the Epidemic arose and how it was stamped out by Vaccination. pp. 3.
17. The Truth about the sanitary condition of Gloucester, and how it has been misrepresented by leading Anti-Vaccinators, pp. 12.
18. The *Lancet* on the Gloucester Epidemic of Small Pox as misrepresented by the Anti-Vaccinators. pp. 27.
19. "A Memorable Incident of the Gloucester Epidemic," backed by "The Experience of the Liverpool Small Pox Hospital."
20. "The Cry of the Children." By Mrs. Reginald Bray.
21. Anti-Vaccination Statistics: a Criticism on Mr. A. Milne's Methods and Arguments. By G. Udney Yule, F.S.S. pp. 5.
22. The London Epidemic of Small Pox in 1891. Some Statistics and Comments. pp. 8.
23. "Dr. Creighton confuted by the experience of Gloucester," backed by "Anti-Vaccinists at variance, or how Drs. Creighton, Crookshank and Collins disagree as to the protective power of Vaccination." pp. 2.

Specimens of any of the above may be obtained at the price of 1d. each, with 1d. for postage, or 2' for the series, post free, on application to the Hon. Secretary, Jenner Society, Gloucester.

